described on the aforementioned three deeds. By reviewing the deeds and plats, I find and conclude that the Petitioners and the Respondent, Greenville County Redevelopment Authority are the sole abutting property owners to the Old Woodside Avenue.

The aforenamed Petitioner continued to testify with regard to the condition of the older portion described. The Petitioner testified that portion of Old Woodside Avenue outlined in red on the various drawings and plats was in a state of disrepair and has not been maintained for approximately fifteen (15) years. The Petitioner testified that the Petitioners had together granted a right-of-way through their property about fifteen (15) years ago which is the path of the newer improved Woodside Avenue which exists today. The Petitioner further testified that neither City, County nor State was maintaining the older portion and that it was beginning to be a nuisance to the Town of Fountain Inn due to trash and garbage being dumped in the vicinity. The Petitioner further testified that closing the old portion would benefit the Petitioners and allow them a more beneficial use of their property. The Petitioners also testified that the older portion of Woodside Avenue was not needed by the Petitioners for access to any of the property which they owned. The Petitioner also testified that he had discussed the matter with Phillip Warth, Director of Greenville County Redevelopment Authority and Mr. Warth agreed that the road should be closed and further agreed that title to the closed portion should go to the Petitioners. The Petitioner also testified that there were no mortgages or liens on the property.

Following the testimony of the Petitioner, Paul E. Gault, testimony was taken from Jack Casey, Superintendent of Public Works for the Town of Fountain Inn. Mr. Casey testified that among his duties are supervising maintenance of the roads and streets located in Fountain Inn. Mr. Casey further testified that he was very familiar with the roadway described as