BOOK 919 PAGE 104

BEGINNING at an iron pin on the Southeastern side of East Faris Road, at the corner of Tract 3, and running thence with fract 3, S. 68-08 E., 228.1 feet to an iron pin; thence still with Tract 3, S. 64-49 E. 104.2 feet to an iron pin; thence still with Tract 3, S. 55-52 E. 140.1 feet to an iron pin; thence still with Tract 3, S. 50-27 E. 357.3 feet to an iron pin; thence still with Tract 3, S. 47-56 E. 171.0 feet to an iron pin; thence still with Tract 3, S. 88-45 E. 7.5 feet to an iron pin at the line of Tract 1; thence with Tract 1, N. 2-29 W. 8.9 feet to an iron pin; thence still with Tract 1, N. 43-30 W. 370.6 feet to an iron pin; thence still with Tract 1, N. 43-41 W. 57.4 feet to an iron pin; thence still with Tract 1, N. 51-32 W. 37.9 feet to an iron pin; thence still with Tract 1, N. 51-32 W. 37.9 feet to an iron pin; thence still with Tract 1, N. 53-17 W. 479.8 feet to an iron pin on the Southeastern side of East Faris Road, S. 56-55 W. 146.2 fet to the Beginning corper. ALSO all stoves and refrigerators located in the 32 residential units.

The interest conveyed in Tract 2 above is subject to an easement appurtenant to Tract 3 conveyed to R. M. Caine, which easement contains provisions identical to those in the easement described below.

Also, the right, privilege and easement for the nonexclusive use of said Tract 2 as a buffer strip, planting strip and recreation area for the use and benefit of Tract 1, and the right to prevent any use of said Tract 2 for any purpose which adversely affects the use, benefit and enjoyment of Tract 1, said easement to run with and be appurtenant to Tract 1.

The conveyance of Tracts 2 and 1 above is subject to all easements, roads, water lines and rights of way shown on said plat which may be in any manner for the use and benefit of Tract 3 of said plat. This conveyance is further subject to the rights of the public in two paved streets bounding Tract 2, and to easements of record.

This mortgage is executed by E. Inman, Master, under Order of Court in the case of Lila E. Earle, et al vs. Marshall D. Earle, Jr., et al.