

the obligation of the debt may nevertheless still be asserted against it.

Moucka v. Windham, 483 F2d 914 (1973).

10. With respect to the claim of Mary E. Bruce to inchoate dower, such contingent interest merged with the fee upon the conveyance to her, and in any event dower, being inchoate, will be extinguished by a judicial sale before it comes into being. In Re Hester's Estate, 28 So.2d 164.

11. Likewise, although Defendant, Mary E. Bruce, by her counsel has attempted to assert homestead rights, I conclude that any homestead right is that of the head of the household, Tom S. Bruce, who has, by the conveyance to his wife on January 31, 1977, divested himself of any interest in the property whereby it is not subject to homestead by him.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the deed dated January 31, 1977, from Tom S. Bruce to Mary E. Bruce, which was recorded in the R.M.C. Office for Greenville County in Deed Book 1050 at Page 389 on February 1, 1977, is void, frustrate, and of no effect as to Robert L. Kosnoski and that the property be sold by the marshal, or such other person as may be agreed upon, as provided by law in satisfaction of the judgment of Robert L. Kosnoski,

S/ G. ROSS ANDERSON, JR.  
G. ROSS ANDERSON, JR.  
JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

April 23, 1982

Greenville, South Carolina

TRUE COPY  
TEST:  
JOHN W. WILLIAMS, CLERK

By: Mary Wilson  
Deputy Clerk

RECORDED 'APR 27 1982 at 12:00 P.M.

23938